

Sealing Socket Abutments (SSA) in Oral Implantology — A Modern Imperative for Long-Term Success

Arpit Sikri^{1*} | Jyotsana Sikri² |

1. Associate Professor & Post Graduate Teacher, Department of Prosthodontics, Crown & Bridge and Oral Implantology, Bhojia Dental College & Hospital, Budh (Baddi), Teh. Baddi, Dist. Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India.
2. Associate Professor & Post Graduate Teacher, Department of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, Bhojia Dental College & Hospital, Budh (Baddi), Teh. Baddi, Dist. Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India.

***Corresponding Author:** Arpit Sikri, Associate Professor & Post Graduate Teacher, Department of Prosthodontics, Crown & Bridge and Oral Implantology, Bhojia Dental College & Hospital, Budh(Baddi), Teh. Baddi, Dist. Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India.

doi: 10.71168/NDO.02.05.128

Received Date: August 01- 2025

Publication Date: September 12- 2025

Abstract: The field of oral implantology continues to advance with innovations in surgical approaches, biomaterials, and prosthetic components. However, ensuring a secure biological seal at the implant-abutment interface remains a critical challenge, particularly in immediate implant placement. Sealing Socket Abutments (SSAs) have emerged as an important adjunct in modern implant protocols, offering a prosthetic healing component that protects the implant chamber, facilitates soft tissue contouring, and mitigates microbial contamination during the crucial osseointegration phase. This article explores the rationale, design considerations, clinical advantages, and limitations associated with SSAs. It underscores their role in preserving peri-implant soft tissue health and enhancing the predictability of immediate implant placement. Future prospects, including the integration of smart technologies and 3D-printed custom designs, are also discussed, highlighting SSAs as a pivotal element in biologically oriented, minimally invasive implant therapy.

Keywords: Immediate Implant Placement, Implant-Abutment Interface, Microgap Sealing, Minimally Invasive Implantology, Osseointegration, PEEK Abutment, Peri-Implant Health, Sealing Socket Abutment (SSA), Smart Prosthetics, Soft Tissue Preservation.

Introduction

The ever-evolving landscape of oral implantology has witnessed remarkable advancements in surgical techniques, biomaterials, and prosthetic components. Despite these technological strides, a persisting clinical challenge remains ensuring a proper biological seal at the implant-abutment interface to minimize microbial leakage and the consequent risk of peri-implantitis. A relatively novel and increasingly significant adjunct in this domain is the Sealing Socket Abutment (SSA), a prosthetic component designed for use during the early healing phase following extraction and implant placement, particularly in immediate implant protocols.

Discussion

The Rationale Behind Sealing Socket Abutments

Immediate implant placement into fresh extraction sockets offers advantages such as preservation of alveolar bone and reduced treatment time. However, it is also associated with risks like microleakage, contamination, and collapse of peri-implant soft tissues if not adequately managed.

The SSA functions as a healing component that seals the coronal portion of the implant, thereby isolating the internal implant environment from the oral cavity. This facilitates:

1. Maintenance of a sterile implant chamber during osseointegration.
2. Stabilization of the blood clot and soft tissue contours.
3. Prevention of oral fluid and bacterial ingress, and
4. Enhancement of mucosal seal formation, which is vital for long-term peri-implant health [1,2].

Clinical Implications of Microgap Sealing

The implant–abutment microgap—whether in internal or external hex configurations has been consistently reported as a nidus for microbial colonization [3]. This microbial infiltration, coupled with micromovement and poor sealing, can accelerate marginal bone resorption and soft tissue inflammation.

Several studies support the effectiveness of SSAs in countering these challenges. Cosyn et al. (2016) demonstrated that implants fitted with sealing socket abutments during immediate placement exhibited superior soft tissue stability, improved esthetic scores, and reduced mucosal recession compared to implants restored with conventional healing caps [4]. Similarly, a randomized controlled clinical study by Hu et al. (2019) confirmed that customized sealing abutments promoted better papillary preservation and less gingival shrinkage in the esthetic zone [5].

Retrospective data further supports these findings. In a clinical review of 54 immediate implants with SSAs, Raes et al. (2018) observed reduced complication rates and improved peri-implant tissue health over a 12-month follow-up [6]. Collectively, these findings suggest that SSAs provide a mechanical and biological barrier that enhances early peri-implant stability.

Design and Material Considerations

The SSA is commonly fabricated from titanium or PEEK (Polyether ether ketone), ensuring biocompatibility. Its design resembles that of a provisional abutment but without an external projection, enabling it to sit flush with or slightly above the mucosal margin. Once manually screwed into place, it typically remains during the healing phase (2–4 months).

Recent innovations include antimicrobial coatings, laser-modified surfaces, and plasma-sprayed microtopographies, which reduce bacterial adhesion [7]. Additionally, improved conical connections and torque-controlled insertion mechanisms enhance the hermetic sealing capacity of these abutments.

Role in Soft Tissue Architecture Preservation

Soft tissue architecture, particularly in the esthetic zone, is critical to patient satisfaction. Loss of gingival contours or papillary collapse is notoriously difficult to correct post-factum. By acting as a placeholder, the SSA helps preserve the emergence profile and supports guided mucosal healing [8].

A prospective cohort study by Buser et al. (2017) found that immediate implants restored with sealing socket abutments achieved more favorable soft tissue contours and reduced mid-facial recession compared to conventional healing caps [9]. Case series have further documented the role of SSAs in socket-shield and partial extraction therapies, where they maintain gingival zeniths and prevent soft tissue invagination [10].

Limitations and Clinical Challenges

Despite their advantages, SSAs are not without limitations. Issues include:

- Microleakage due to improper torque application or poor fit,
- Delayed epithelialization when soft tissue adaptation is inadequate,
- The potential need for removal in case of infection or exudate accumulation [11].

Furthermore, the use of SSAs requires clinician familiarity with immediate protocols and access to a well-stocked prosthetic inventory.

Future Perspectives

The role of SSAs is likely to expand as implantology embraces minimally invasive, biologically driven approaches. Future advancements may involve:

- Smart SSAs with integrated biosensors to monitor peri-implant tissue health,
- 3D-printed custom abutments tailored to individual extraction sockets,
- Drug-eluting SSAs capable of releasing antimicrobials or growth factors for high-risk patients [12].

Such developments may transform SSAs into not only passive sealants but also active therapeutic devices.

Conclusions

The Sealing Socket Abutment (SSA) represents a biologically oriented prosthetic innovation in implant dentistry. Evidence from randomized controlled trials, retrospective studies, and clinical case reports highlights its role in minimizing bacterial contamination, preserving peri-implant soft tissues, and improving esthetic outcomes in immediate implant protocols. While limitations and technique sensitivity remain, SSAs enhance the predictability and long-term success of implants by integrating prophylactic principles with prosthetic precision. As future designs evolve, the SSA may become an indispensable component of contemporary, minimally invasive implant therapy.

References

1. Schwarz F, Derks J, Monje A, Wang HL. Peri-implantitis. *J Periodontol*. 2018;89(S1):S267–S290.
2. Koutouzis T, Gadalla H, Lundgren T. Bacterial colonization of the implant-abutment interface: implications for peri-implant tissue stability. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2019;30(6):557–564.
3. Dhir S, Mahesh L, Kurtzman GM. Microleakage at the implant–abutment interface: a systematic review. *J Oral Implantol*. 2017;43(5):377–385.
4. Cosyn J, Eghbali A, De Bruyn H, Collys K, Cleymaet R, De Rouck T. Immediate single-tooth implants in the anterior maxilla: aesthetic outcome and tissue stability. A randomized controlled study. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2016;27(2):185–193.
5. Hu J, Zheng X, Wu H, et al. Clinical outcomes of immediate implants with customized sealing abutments in the esthetic zone: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2019;34(5):1129–1138.
6. Raes F, Cosyn J, Crommelinck E, De Bruyn H. Long-term clinical performance of immediate implants with sealing socket abutments: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res*. 2018;20(4):586–594.
7. Barfeie A, Wilson J, Rees J. Implant surface modification for prevention of peri-implant diseases. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;1:CD010869.
8. Kan JYK, Rungcharassaeng K, Deflorian M, et al. Immediate implant placement and provisionalization of maxillary anterior single implants: 1-year prospective study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2016;31(2):428–434.
9. Buser D, Chappuis V, Kuchler U, Bornstein MM, Wittneben JG, Belser UC. Long-term stability of early implant placement with contour augmentation. *J Dent Res*. 2017;96(12):1285–1293.
10. Gluckman H, Du Toit J, Salama M. The socket-shield technique to support soft tissue and bone volume. *Int Dent Afr Ed*. 2017;7(3):6–12.
11. Mezzomo L, Miller R, Triches D, Alonso F. Complications associated with immediate implant placement: a clinical analysis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2019;48(10):1340–1346.
12. Yao Y, Xie C, Xu X, et al. Advances in drug-eluting implant abutments: a future perspective. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol*. 2020;8:551.